

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,757	06/27/2003	Yao Wang	EMC-01-141CIP2	7174	
24227 7590 02/03/2009 EMC CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ADAMS, CHARLES D		
176 SOUTH STREET HOPKINTON, MA 01748			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2164		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/608.757 WANG ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2164 CHARLES D. ADAMS All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Charles D Adams, (2) Joseph D'Angelo (Reg. No. 56,800). (4)____. Date of Interview: 28 January 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ___ Claim(s) discussed: 1.6.10 and 14. Identification of prior art discussed: Sicola et al., Mashavekhi et al., Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative proposed several amendments to the independent claims. Examiner informed Applicant's representative that the prior art of record does not explicitly contain the proposed amendments, and that Examiner would conduct a new search. Examiner and Applicant's representative then discussed further possible amendments, and corrections to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 101. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/C. D. A./
Examiner, Art Unit 2164
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office